arXiv:1601.04222v2 [math.AG] 9 Nov 2016

Salem numbers and Enriques surfaces

Igor Dolgachev

Abstract

It is known that the dynamical degree of an automorphism g of an algebraic surface S is lower semi-continuous when (S, g) varies in an algebraic family. In this paper we report on computer experiments confirming this behavior with the aim to realize small Salem numbers as the dynamical degrees of automorphisms of Enriques surfaces or rational Coble surfaces.

1 Introduction

Let X be a smooth algebraic surface over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ and $\mathrm{Num}(X)$ be the numerical lattice of X, the quotient of the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ modulo numerical equivalence.[1] An automorphism g of X acts on $\mathrm{Num}(X)$ preserving the inner product defined by the intersection product on $\mathrm{Num}(X)$. It is known that the characteristic polynomial of $g^* : \mathrm{Num}(X) \to \mathrm{Num}(X)$ is the product of cyclotomic polynomials and at most one *Salem polynomial*, a monic irreducible reciprocal polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ which has two reciprocal positive roots and all other roots are complex numbers of absolute value one (see [17]).

The spectral radius $\lambda(g)$ of g^*, i.e. the eigenvalue of g^* on $\mathrm{Num}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ with maximal absolute value, is equal to 1 or the real eigenvalue larger than 1. The number $\lambda(g)$ is called the *dynamical degree* of g. It expresses the growth of the degrees of iterates g^n of g. More precisely, we have [2]

$$\lambda(g) = \lim_{n\to\infty} (\deg_h g^n)^{1/n},$$

where $\deg_h g^n = (g^*)^{-n}(h) \cdot h$ is the *degree* of $(g^*)^{-n}(h)$ with respect to the numerical class of an ample divisor h on X. The dynamical degree of g does not depend on a choice of h.

An automorphism g is called *hyperbolic* if $\lambda(g) > 1$. Equivalently, in the action of g^* on the hyperbolic space associated with the real Minkowski space $\mathrm{Num}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, g^* is a hyperbolic isometry. Its two fixed points lying in the boundary correspond to the eigenvectors of g^* with eigenvalues $\lambda(g)$ and $1/\lambda(g)$. The isometry g^* acts on the geodesic with ends at the fixed points as a hyperbolic translation with the hyperbolic distance $\lambda(g)$. It is known that, over $\mathbb{C}$, $\log(\lambda(g))$ is equal to the *topological entropy* of the automorphisms g acting on the set of complex points equipped with the euclidean topology.

[1] Over $\mathbb{C}$, the group $\mathrm{Num}(X)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ modulo torsion generated by algebraic cycles.

If $\lambda(g) = 1$, then the isometry g^* is elliptic or parabolic. In the former case, g is an automorphism with some power lying in the connected component of the identity of the automorphism group of X. In this case $\deg_h g^n$ is bounded. In the latter case $\deg_h g^n$ grows linearly or quadratically and g preserves a pencil of rational or arithmetic genus one curves on X (see [3], [14]).

Going through the classification of algebraic surfaces, one easily checks that a hyperbolic automorphism can be realized only on surfaces birationally isomorphic to an abelian surface, a K3 surface, an Enriques surface, or the projective plane (see [3]).

The smallest known Salem number is the *Lehmer number* α_{Leh} with the minimal polynomial

$$x^{10} + x^9 - (x^3 + \cdots + x^7) + x + 1.$$

It is equal to 1.17628.... It is conjectured that this is indeed the smallest Salem number. In fact, it is the smallest possible dynamical degree of an automorphism of an algebraic surface [18]. The Lehmer number can be realized as the dynamical degree of an automorphism of a rational surface or a K3 surface (see [18], [19]). On the other hand, it is known that it cannot be realized on an Enriques surface [23].

In this paper we attempt to construct hyperbolic automorphisms of Enriques surfaces of small dynamical degree. We succeeded in realizing the smallest Salem number of degree 2 and the third smallest Salem number in degree 4. However, we believe that our smallest Salem numbers of degrees 6,8 and 10 are not optimal, so the paper should be viewed as a computer experiment.

The main idea for the search of hyperbolic automorphisms of small dynamical degree is based on the following nice result of Junyi Xie [31] that roughly says that the dynamical degree of an automorphism does not increase when the surface together with the automorphism is specialized in an algebraic family. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to T$ be a smooth projective family of surfaces over an integral scheme T, and g be an automorphism of $\mathcal{X}/T$. Let g_t denote the restriction of g to a fiber $\mathcal{X}_t = f^{-1}(t)$. Then the function $\phi : t \mapsto \lambda(g_t)$ is lower semi-continuous (i.e. for any real number r, the set $\{t \in T : \phi(t) \leq r$ is closed).*

One can use this result, for example, when π is a family of lattice polarized K3 surfaces. We use this result in the case of a family of Enriques surfaces when a general fiber has no smooth rational curves but the special fibers acquire them. It shows that the dynamical degree of an automorphism depends on the Nikulin nodal invariant of the surface (see [11]).

Acknowledgement. This paper owes much to the conversations with Paul Reschke whose joint work with Bar Roytman makes a similar experiment with automorphisms of K3 surfaces isomorphic to a hypersurface in the product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. I am also grateful to Keiji Oguiso and Xun Yu for useful remarks on my talk on this topic during the "Conference on K3 surfaces and related topics" held in Seoul on November 16-20, 2015. I thank the referee whose numerous critical comments have greatly improved the exposition of the paper.

2 Double plane involutions

2.1 Enriques surfaces and the lattice E_{10}

Let S be an Enriques surface, i.e. a smooth projective algebraic surface with canonical class K_S satisfying $2K_S = 0$ and the second Betti number (computed in étale cohomology if $\Bbbk \neq \mathbb{C}$) equal to 10. Together with K3 surfaces, abelian surfaces and bielliptic surfaces, Enriques surfaces make the class of algebraic surfaces with numerically trivial canonical class. If $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$, the universal cover of an Enriques surface is a K3 surface and S becomes isomorphic to the quotient of a K3 surface by a fixed-point-free involution.

Let us remind some known facts about Enriques surfaces which can be found in many sources (e.g.[6], or [11] and references therein). It is known that $\mathrm{Num}(S)$ is an even unimodular lattice of signature $(1, 9)$, and, as such, it is isomorphic to the quadratic lattice E_{10} equal to the orthogonal sum of the negative definite even unimodular lattice E_8 of rank 8 (defined by the negative of the Cartan matrix of a simple root system of type E_8) and the unimodular even rank 2 lattice U defined by the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The lattice E_{10} is called in [6] the *Enriques lattice*. One can choose a basis $(f_1, \dots, f_9, \delta)$ in $\mathrm{Num}(S)$ formed by isotropic vectors $f_1, \dots, f_9$ and a vector δ with

$$\delta^2 = 10,\ (\delta, f_i) = 3,\ (f_i, f_j) = 1, i \neq j.$$

Together with the vector

$$f_{10} = 3\delta - f_1 - \cdots - f_9,$$

the ordered set $(f_1, \dots, f_{10})$ forms a 10-sequence of isotropic vectors with $(f_i, f_j) = 1, i \neq j$. We say that the isotropic sequence $(f_1, \dots, f_{10})$ is *non-degenerate*, if each f_i is equal to the numerical class of a nef divisor F_i. In the case of Enriques surfaces this means that the intersection of F_i with any smooth rational curve is non-negative. Under this assumption, δ is the numerical class of an ample divisor Δ. The linear system $|\Delta|$ defines a closed embedding of S in $\mathbb{P}^5$ with the image a surface of degree 10, called a *Fano model* of S.

The orthogonal group of the lattice E_{10} contains a subgroup of index 2 which is generated by reflections $s_\alpha : x \mapsto x + (x, \alpha)\alpha$ in vectors α with $\alpha^2 = -2$. This group is a Coxeter group with generators s_{α_i}, where

$$\alpha_0 = \delta - f_1 - f_2 - f_3,\ \alpha_1 = f_1 - f_2, \dots, \alpha_9 = f_9 - f_{10}.$$

Its Coxeter diagram is of T-shaped type



Figure 1: The Enriques lattice E_{10} and the Coxeter diagram of its reflection group

2.2 Elliptic fibrations and double plane involutions

We have $\mathrm{Num}(X) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X)/\mathbb{Z}K_S$, so there are two choices for F_i if $K_S \neq 0$ and one choice if $K_S = 0$ (the latter may happen only if the characteristic $\mathrm{char}(\Bbbk)$ of $\Bbbk$ is equal to 2). The linear system $|2F_i|$ defines a genus one fibration $p_i : S \to \mathbb{P}^1$ on S, an elliptic fibration if $\mathrm{char}(\Bbbk) \neq 2$. The fibers $2F_i$ are its double fibers, the other double fiber is $2F_i'$, where F_i' is linearly equivalent to $F_i + K_S$.

The linear system $|2F_i + 2F_j|$ of effective divisors linearly equivalent to $2F_i + 2F_j$ defines a degree 2 morphism

$$\phi_{ij} : S \to \mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$$

onto a del Pezzo surface $\mathcal{D}$ of degree 4. If $p \neq 2$, it has four nodes and it is isomorphic to the quotient of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ by an involution with four isolated fixed points. Let g_{ij} be the birational involution of S defined by the deck transformation. Since S is a minimal surface with nef canonical class, it extends to a biregular involution of S. We call it a *double plane involution*, a birational equivalent model of the map ϕ_{ij} is the original Enriques's double plane construction of Enriques surfaces.

The natural action of $\mathrm{Aut}(S)$ on $\mathrm{Num}(S)$ defines a homomorphism

$$\rho : \mathrm{Aut}(S) \to \mathrm{O}(\mathsf{E}_{10}),\ g \mapsto g^*.$$

Its image is contained in the reflection group $W(\mathsf{E}_{10})$. It is known that the kernel of ρ is a finite group of order 2 or 4 (see [12],[21],[22]). Over $\mathbb{C}$ they have been classified in [22]. None of them occur in our computations. So we may assume that ρ is injective.

When S is *unnodal*, i.e. it does not contain smooth rational curves[2], the image $\mathrm{Aut}(S)^*$ of ρ contains a subgroup of finite index of $W(\mathsf{E}_{10})$ that coincides with the 2-level congruence subgroup $W(\mathsf{E}_{10})(2) := \{\sigma : \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(x) - x) \in \mathrm{Num}(S),\ \text{for all } x \in \mathsf{E}_{10}\}$. It is known that, in this case g_{ij} acts on E_{10} as $-\mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{E}_8} \oplus \mathrm{id}_U$ for some orthogonal decomposition $\mathsf{E}_{10} = \mathsf{E}_8 \oplus U$. The subgroup $W(\mathsf{E}_{10})(2)$ coincides with the normal closure of any g_{ij}^*.

Let $(f_1, \dots, f_{10})$ be a non-degenerate isotropic 10-sequence. Consider the degree 2 cover $\phi_{ij} : S \to \mathcal{D}$ corresponding to a pair (f_i, f_j). The map ϕ_{ij} blows down common rational irreducible components of fibers of the genus one fibrations $|2F_i|$ and $|2F_j|$. The classes of these components span a negative definite sublattice $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$ of $\mathrm{Num}(S)$. It is a negative definite lattice spanned by vectors with norm equal to -2, the orthogonal sum of root lattices of simple Lie algebras of types A_n, D_n, E_n. The action of the involution g_{ij} on this lattice is given in the following lemma (see [24], section 3).

Lemma 2.1. *Assume $p \neq 2$ and let X be a smooth minimal projective surface of non-negative Kodaira dimension. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of degree 2 onto a normal surface. Then any connected fiber $C = f^{-1}(y)$ over a nonsingular point of Y is a point or the union of (-2)-curves whose intersection graph is of type A_n, D_n, E_n as in the following picture.*

[2] We call such curves (-2)-curves because they are characterized by the property that their self-intersection is equal to -2.



The deck transformation σ of f extends to a biregular automorphism of X. It acts on the components of C as follows

- $\sigma(a_i) = a_{n+1-i}, i = 1, \dots, n$;
- $\sigma(d_i) = d_i$ *if* n *is even;*
- $\sigma(d_1) = d_2, \sigma(d_i) = d_i, i \neq 1, 2$ *if* n *is odd;*
- $\sigma(e_1) = e_1, \sigma(e_i) = e_{8-i}, i \neq 1,$ *if* $n = 6$;
- $\sigma(e_i) = e_i$ *if* $n = 7, 8$.

Let M_{ij} be the sublattice spanned by f_i, f_j and the sublattice $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$. For any $\gamma \in \mathrm{Num}(S)$, we can write

$$\gamma = (\gamma, f_i)f_j + (\gamma, f_j)f_i + r + \gamma^\perp, \tag{2.1}$$

where $r \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ and $\gamma^\perp \in M_{ij}^\perp$. Since $\gamma^\perp$ is contained in the orthogonal complement of the eigensubspace of $\mathrm{Num}(S)_\mathbb{Q}$ with eigenvalue 1, we have the $g_{ij}^*(\gamma^\perp) = -\gamma^\perp$. Applying g_{ij}^* to (2.1), we obtain

$$g_{ij}^*(\gamma) = -\gamma + 2(\gamma, f_i)f_j + 2(\gamma, f_j)f_i + g_{ij}^*(r) + r. \tag{2.2}$$

This formula will allow us to compute the action of g_{ij} on $\mathrm{Num}(S)$.

3 Salem numbers of products of double plane involutions

3.1 The first experiment: a general case

In our first experiment, we assume that the maps $\phi_{12}, \dots, \phi_{kk+1}$ are finite morphisms, i.e. do not blow down any curves. In this case M_{ij} is spanned by f_i, f_j, and we get from (2.2)

$$g_{ij}^*(f_a) = 2f_i + 2f_j - f_a, \ a \neq i, j, \quad g_{ij}^*(f_a) = f_a, \ a = i, j. \tag{3.1}$$

The formula gives the matrix of g_{ij}^* in the basis $(f_1, \dots, f_{10})$ of $\mathrm{Num}(S)_\mathbb{Q}$. For any $k = 1, \dots, 10$ let

$$c_k := g_{12} \circ \cdots \circ g_{kk+1},$$

where $g_{10,11} := g_{1,10}$. Note that the cyclic permutation of $(g_{12}, g_{23}, \ldots, g_{10,1})$, gives a conjugate composition. However, different order on the set of involutions lead sometimes to non-conjugate compositions.

In this and the following experiment we will consider only the automorphisms c_k's. Using formula (2.2), we compute the matrix of c_k in the basis $(f_1, \ldots, f_{10})$, find its characteristic polynomial and find its Salem factor and its spectral radius. We check that c_2^* is not hyperbolic and obtain that the Salem polynomials of $c_3^*, \ldots, c_{10}^*$ are equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&x^4 - 16x^3 + 14x^2 - 16x + 1,\\
&x^2 - 14x + 1,\\
&x^6 - 54x^5 + 63x^4 - 84x^3 + 63x^2 - 54x + 1,\\
&x^6 - 70x^5 - 113x^4 - 148x^3 - 113x^2 - 70x + 1,\\
&x^6 - 186x^5 - 129x^4 - 332x^3 - 129x^2 - 186x + 1,\\
&x^8 - 320x^7 - 548x^6 - 704x^5 - 698x^4 - 704x^3 - 548x^2 - 320x + 1,\\
&x^{10} - 706x^9 + 845x^8 - 1048x^7 + 1202x^6 - 1048x^3 + 845x^2 - 706x + 1,\\
&x^8 - 992x^7 - 1700x^6 - 1568x^5 - 1466x^4 - 1568x^3 - 1700x^2 - 992x + 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively.

3.2 The second experiment

In our second experiment, we assume that each divisor class

$$r_{ii+1} = f_i + f_{i+1} - f_{10-i+1},\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

is effective and represented by a (-2)-curve. For $m > 1$, the curves are disjoint. In the Fano model, they are smooth rational curves of degree 3.

We have $M_{ii+1} = \langle f_i, f_{i+1}, r_{ii+1}\rangle$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ and $M_{ii+1} = \langle f_i, f_{i+1}\rangle$ for $i > m$. Using formula (2.2), we obtain the following minimal polynomials of $c_3^*, \ldots, c_{10}^*$.

- $m = 1$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&x^4 - 12x^3 + 6x^2 - 12x + 1,\\
&x^2 - 10x + 1,\\
&x^6 - 42x^5 + 31x^4 - 44x^3 + 31x^2 - 42x + 1,\\
&x^6 - 50x^5 - 65x^4 - 92x^3 - 65x^2 - 50x + 1,\\
&x^6 - 142x^5 - 145x^4 - 260x^3 - 145x^2 - 142x + 1,\\
&x^8 - 236x^7 - 316x^6 - 404x^5 - 394x^4 - 404x^3 - 316x^2 - 236x + 1,\\
&x^8 - 452x^7 + 452x^6 - 892x^5 + 502x^4 - 892x^3 + 452x^2 - 452x + 1,\\
&x^8 - 576x^7 + 44x^6 - 704x^5 - 90x^4 - 704x^3 + 44x^2 - 576x + 1.
\end{aligned}
$$

- $m = 2$

$$x^2 - 8x + 1,$$
$$x^4 - 8x^3 - 6x^2 - 8x + 1,$$
$$x^6 - 34x^5 - 5x^4 - 52x^3 - 5x^2 - 34x + 1,$$
$$x^6 - 42x^5 - 21x^4 - 68x^3 - 21x^2 - 42x + 1,$$
$$x^8 - 120x^7 + 8x^6 - 136x^5 + 46x^4 - 136x^3 + 8x^2 - 120x + 1,$$
$$x^6 - 218x^5 - 113x^4 - 300x^3 - 113x^2 - 218x + 1,$$
$$x^{10} - 430x^9 + 305x^6 - 192x^7 + 206x^6 - 36x^5 + 206x^4 - 192x^3 + 305x^2 - 430x + 1,$$
$$x^{10} - 354x^9 - 231x^8 - 272x^7 - 282x^6 - 28x^5 - 282x^4 - 272x^3 - 231x^2 - 354x + 1.$$

- $m = 3$

$$x^4 - 5x^3 - 5x + 1,$$
$$x^4 - 8x^3 - 2x^2 - 8x + 1,$$
$$x^8 - 27x^7 + 26x^6 - 53x^5 + 42x^4 - 53x^3 + 26x^2 - 27x + 1,$$
$$x^6 - 35x^5 + 11x^4 - 66x^3 + 11x^2 - 35x + 1,$$
$$x^8 - 97x^7 + 146x^6 - 207x^5 + 250x^4 - 207x^3 + 146x^2 - 97x + 1,$$
$$x^8 - 173x^7 - 230x^6 - 99x^5 - 22x^4 - 99x^3 - 22x^2 - 99x + 1,$$
$$x^8 - 389x^7 + 186x^6 - 267x^5 - 278x^4 - 267x^3 + 186x^2 - 389x + 1,$$
$$x^8 - 291x^7 - 246x^6 - 221x^5 - 214x^4 - 221x^3 - 246x^2 - 291x + 1.$$

- $m = 4$

$$x^4 - 5x^3 - 5x + 1,$$
$$x^6 - 5x^5 - 4x^4 - 12x^3 - 4x^2 - 5x + 1,$$
$$x^8 - 21x^7 + 5x^6 - 43x^5 + 4x^4 - 43x^3 + 5x^2 - 21x + 1,$$
$$x^6 - 33x^5 - 8x^4 - 60x^3 - 8x^2 - 33x + 1,$$
$$x^8 - 91x^7 - 91x^6 - 133x^5 - 124x^4 - 133x^3 - 91x^2 - 91x + 1,$$
$$x^8 - 165x^7 + 223x^6 - 59x^5 - 133x^4 - 59x^3 + 223x^2 - 165x + 1,$$
$$x^6 - 371x^5 - 62x^4 - 80x^3 - 62x^2 - 371x + 1,$$
$$x^{10} - 277x^9 - 104x^8 + 390x^7 - 25x^6 - 546x^5 - 25x^4 + 390x^3 - 104x^2 - 277x + 1.$$

The results of the computations of the dynamical degrees are given in the following table.

One may ask whether the configurations of the curves representing the classes r_{kk+1} can be realized on an Enriques surface. We omit the details to give the positive answer. The numerical classes of r_{ii+1} define the Nikulin invariant $r(S)$ of the surface S (see [11], §5). If $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$, one can use the Global Torelli Theorem to realize this Nikulin invariant by curves of degree ≤ 4 with respect to the Fano polarization. These curves would realize our (-2)-curves r_{ii+1}. We omit the details of this rather technical theory.

k/m	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	15.1	13.9	52.8	71.6	186.7	321.7	704.8	993.7
1	11.6	9.9	41.3	51.3	143.0	273.3	429.2	575.9
2	7.8	8.8	34.2	42.5	119.9	218.5	429.2	354.6
3	5.2	8.3	26.0	34.7	95.5	174.3	388.5	291.8
4	5.2	6.0	20.80	33.2	92.0	163.6	371.2	277.4

4 Enriques surfaces of Hessian type

Here we assume that $\mathrm{char}(\Bbbk) \neq 2, 3$.

4.1 Cubic surfaces and their Hessian quartic surfaces

All material here is very classical, and, if no explanation of a fact is given, one can find it in many sources, for example, in [7], [9], [10]. Let C be a nonsingular cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ given by equation $F = 0$. The determinant of the Hessian matrix of the second partial derivatives of F is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4. It defines a quartic surface $H(C)$, the *Hessian surface* of C. We assume that C is *Sylvester non-degenerate*, i.e. F can be written as a sum of cubes of five linear forms $l_1, \dots, l_5$ in projective coordinates $t_1, \dots, t_4$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$, no four of which are linearly dependent (see [10], p. 260). By multiplying l_i's by constants, we may assume that

$$F = \lambda_1 l_1^3 + \cdots + \lambda_5 l_5^3 = 0, \quad l_1 + \cdots + l_5 = 0.$$

Then, we embed C in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_5)$ via the map given by $x_i = l_i(t_1, \dots, t_4)$, $i = 1, \dots, 5$. The image is a cubic surface given by equations

$$x_1 + \cdots + x_5 = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{5} \lambda_i x_i^3 = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

The image of the Hessian surface $H(C)$ of F is given by equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} x_i = \sum_{i=1} \frac{1}{\lambda_i x_i} = 0, \tag{4.2}$$

where we understand that the second equation is multiplied by the common denominator to obtain a homogeneous equation of degree 4 (see [10], 9.4.2).

The union of the planes $l_i = 0$ is called the *Sylvester pentahedron*. Its image in $\mathbb{P}^4$ is the union of the intersection of the coordinates hyperplanes $x_i = 0$ with the hyperplane $x_1 + \cdots + x_5 = 0$. The Hessian surface contains 10 edges L_{ab} of the pentahedron given by equations $x_a = x_b = x_1 + \cdots + x_5 = 0$. It also contains its 10 vertices given by $x_i = x_j = x_k = x_1 + \cdots + x_5 = 0$. They are ordinary double points P_{ab} on $H(F)$, where $\{i, j, k, a, b\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. A line L_{ab} contains three points P_{cd}, where $\{a, b\} \cap \{c, d\} = \emptyset$.

Each point P_{ab} is contained in three lines L_{cd}. The lines and points form a well-known abstract *Desargues configuration* (10_3) (see [15], III, §19).

Figure 2 is the picture of the Sylvester pentahedron with vertices P_{ab} and edges L_{ab}.



Figure 2: Sylvester pentahedron

4.2 The Cremona involution of the Hessian surface and Enriques surfaces

The birational transformation

$$\Phi_{\rm st} : (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \mapsto (\frac{1}{\lambda_1 x_1}, \frac{1}{\lambda_2 x_2}, \frac{1}{\lambda_3 x_3}, \frac{1}{\lambda_4 x_4}, \frac{1}{\lambda_5 x_5}) \tag{4.3}$$

of $\mathbb{P}^4$ satisfies $\Phi_{\rm st}^2 = {\rm id}_{\mathbb{P}^4}$. It is equal the *standard Cremona involution* of $\mathbb{P}^4$ after one scales the coordinates. The fixed points of $\Phi_{\rm st}$ (in the domain of the definition) have coordinates $(\pm\sqrt{\lambda_1}^{-1}, \dots, \pm\sqrt{\lambda_5}^{-1})$. We additionally assume that none of them lies on the Hessian surface. Under our assumption, the birational involution $\Phi_{\rm st}$ defines a fixed-point-free involution τ on a minimal nonsingular model X of the Hessian surface $H(C)$ with the quotient isomorphic to an Enriques surface. We say that such an Enriques surface is of *Hessian type*. Let

$$\pi : X \to S = X/(\tau).$$

be the projection map. It is the K3-cover of S.

Let N_{ab} be the exceptional curve over P_{ab} on X and let T_{ab} be the proper transform of the edge L_{ab} on X. It follows from the definition of the birational transformation $\Phi_{\rm st}$ that the involution τ of X sends N_{ab} to T_{ab}. Let h be the pre-image on X of the class of a hyperplane section of $H(C)$. We can represent it by a coordinate hyperplane section of $H(C)$. It is the union of four edges of the Sylvester pentahedron. It is easy to see from Figure 2 that

$$h = \sum_{i \in \{a,b\}} T_{ab} + \sum_{i \notin \{c,d\}} N_{cd}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. \tag{4.4}$$

Applying the involution τ, we obtain

$$h + \tau^*(h) = \sum_{\{a,b\}} (T_{ab} + N_{ab}).$$

Here and later we identify the divisor class of any smooth rational curve on X or on S with the curve.

Let U_{ab} denote the image of the curves $N_{ab} + T_{ab}$ on the Enriques surface. The intersection graph of these 10 curves is the famous *Petersen graph* given in Figure 3. Let Δ be the sum of the curves U_{ab}. We have

$$\pi^*(\Delta) = h + \tau^*(h).$$

Then it is easily checked that $\Delta^2 = 10$, and $\Delta \cdot U_{ab} = 1$.

4.3 Elliptic pencils

Consider the pencil of planes containing an edge L_{ab}. A general plane from this pencil cuts out on $H(C)$ the union of the line L_{ab} and a plane cubic curve. The preimage of the pencil of residual cubic curves on X is a pencil of elliptic curves on X defined by the linear system

$$|G_{ab}| := |h - T_{ab} - \sum_{\{c,d\} \cap \{a,b\} = \emptyset} N_{cd}|. \tag{4.5}$$



Figure 3: Petersen graph

If we represent h by a plane containing L_{ab} and the point P_{ab}, the residual curve is a plane cubic with a double point at P_{ab}. Let K_{ab} be its proper transform on X. Another representative of h is a plane tangent to $H(C)$ along the line L_{ab}. The residual curve is a conic intersecting L_{ab} with multiplicity 2. Let K'_{ab} be its proper transform on X. We obtain

$$|G_{ab}| = |N_{ab} + K_{ab}| = |T_{ab} + K'_{ab}|. \tag{4.6}$$

It follows from the definition of the standard Cremona involution that Φ_{st} preserves the pencil of hyperplanes through any edge of the pentahedron. Thus the elliptic pencil $|G_{ab}|$ is invariant with respect to τ, and we get

$$\tau^*(K_{ab}) = K'_{ab}.$$

Being τ-invariant, the elliptic pencil $|G_{ab}|$ descends to an elliptic fibration $|\bar{G}_{ab}|$ on S. Note that, for a general divisor $D \in |\bar{G}_{ab}|$, we have $\pi^*(D) = G + G'$, where G and G' are disjoint members of $|G_{ab}|$. As we explained in subsection 2.2, we can write $|G_{ab}|$ as $|2F_{ab}| = |2F'_{ab}|$, where the numerical classes f_{ab}, f'_{ab} of F_{ab}, F'_{ab} are primitive nef isotropic vectors in $\text{Num}(S)$. We immediately check that $G_{ab} \cdot G_{cd} = 2$ for different subscripts, hence $f_{ab} \cdot f_{cd} = 1$ and the classes f_{ab} form an isotropic 10-sequence. It follows from (4.5) that

$$2\sum_{\{ab\}} G_{ab} = \sum_{\{ab\}} G_{ab} + \sum_{\{ab\}} \tau^*(G_{ab}) = 10(h + \tau^*(h)) - 4\sum_{\{a,b\}} (N_{ab} + T_{ab}) = 6(h + \tau^*(h)).$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\{a,b\}} f_{ab} = 3\delta,$$

where δ is the numerical class of the Fano polarization

$$\Delta = \sum_{\{ab\}} U_{ab}. \tag{4.7}$$

It follow that each curve U_{ab} becomes a line in the Fano model of S. Equation (4.6) shows that each pencil $|2F_{ab}|$ has a reducible member $U_{ab} + C_{ab}$, where C_{ab} is the image of the curves K_{ab}, K'_{ab} on S. Another reducible member of this fibration is the sum of curves U_{cd}, where $\#\{a, b\} \cap \{c, d\} = 1$. It is of type I_6 in Kodaira's classification of singular fibers of relatively minimal elliptic fibrations (see [4], Chapter III, §1).

4.4 Projection involutions

Recall that the projection map of a quartic surface H with a double point p with center at p defines a rational map $H \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ of degree 2. Its deck transformation extends to a biregular involution σ_p of a minimal nonsingular model of H. Let σ_{ab} be such a transformation of the minimal nonsingular model X of the Hessian surface $H(C)$ defined by the projection from the point P_{ab}.

The following lemma follows easily from the definition of the projection map.

Lemma 4.1. *Let σ_{12} be the involution of X defined by the projection map from the node P_{12}. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{12}^*(N_{12}) &= K'_{12} \text{ if } K_{12} \text{ is irreducible},\\
&= N_{12} \text{ otherwise},\\
\sigma_{12}^*(T_{12}) &= K_{12} \text{ if } K_{12} \text{ is irreducible},\\
&= T_{12} \text{ otherwise},\\
\sigma_{12}^*(N_{13}) &= N_{23}, \qquad \sigma_{12}^*(T_{13}) = T_{23},\\
\sigma_{12}^*(N_{14}) &= N_{24}, \qquad \sigma_{12}^*(T_{14}) = T_{24},\\
\sigma_{12}^*(N_{15}) &= N_{25}, \qquad \sigma_{12}^*(T_{15}) = T_{25}\\
\sigma_{12}^*(N_{34}) &= N_{34}, \qquad \sigma_{12}^*(T_{34}) = T_{34}\\
\sigma_{12}^*(N_{35}) &= N_{35}, \qquad \sigma_{12}^*(T_{35}) = T_{35}\\
\sigma_{12}^*(N_{45}) &= N_{45}, \qquad \sigma_{12}^*(T_{45}) = T_{45},
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that σ_{ab}^* acts on the curves $N_{cd}, T_{cd}, \{c,d\} \neq \{a,b\}$, via the transposition (ab) applied to the subscript indices. Moreover, if K_{12} is reducible then it acts as a transposition on all curves N_{ab} and T_{ab}.

Corollary 4.2. *The projection involutions σ_{ab} commute with the involution τ and descend to involutions h_{ab} of the Enriques surface $S = X/(\tau)$. The involution h_{12} acts on the curves U_{ab} as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{12}^*(U_{13}) &= U_{23},\\
h_{12}^*(U_{14}) &= U_{24},\\
h_{12}^*(U_{15}) &= U_{25},\\
h_{12}^*(U_{34}) &= U_{34},\\
h_{12}^*(U_{35}) &= U_{35},\\
h_{12}^*(U_{45}) &= U_{34}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$h_{12}^*(U_{12}) = \begin{cases} C_{12} & \text{if } C_{12} \text{ is irreducible},\\ U_{12} & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Assume C_{ab} is irreducible. Let

$$\alpha_{ab} = f_{ab} - U_{ab} \in \mathrm{Num}(S).$$

We have

$$\alpha_{ab}^2 = -2.$$

We denote by t_{ab} the transformation of $\mathrm{Pic}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ that permutes the basis (U_{ij}) via the transposition (ab) of $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$. Note that, in general, it is not realized by an automorphism of the surface.

Corollary 4.3. *Assume C_{ab} is irreducible. Then h^*_{ab} acts as the composition of the reflection $r_{\alpha_{ab}}$ and the transformation t_{ab}. If C_{ab} is reducible, then it acts as the transposition (ab).*

Proof. If C_{ab} is reducible, the assertion follows from the previous corollary. Assume C_{ab} is irreducible. By definition of the reflection transformation, we have

$$r_{\alpha_{ab}}(x) = x + (x, \alpha_{ab})\alpha_{ab}.$$

It follows from the inspection of the Petersen graph in Figure 3 that each U_{cd} with $\{c,d\} \cap \{a,b\} = \emptyset$ intersects a fiber of the elliptic fibration $|2F_{ab}|$ with multiplicity 2. It also intersects U_{ab} with multiplicity 1. Thus, it must intersect C_{ab} with multiplicity 1. This implies that $r_{\alpha_{ab}}(U_{cd}) = U_{cd}$, hence $h^*_{ab}(U_{cd}) = (r_{\alpha_{ab}} \circ t_{ab})(U_{cd})$. If $\#\{c,d\} \cap \{a,b\} = 1$, then $U_{cd} \cdot U_{ab} = U_{cd} \cdot C_{ab} = 0$ and $h^*_{ab}(U_{cd}) = (r_{\alpha_{ab}} \circ t_{ab})(U_{cd})$ again. Finally, we have $U_{ab} \cdot \alpha_{ab} = 2$, hence

$$r_{\alpha_{ab}}(U_{ab}) = U_{ab} + 2(f_{ab} - U_{ab}) = 2f_{ab} - U_{ab} = C_{ab}, \quad t_{ab}(U_{ab}) = U_{ab}.$$

Hence, again $h^*_{ab}(U_{ab}) = (r_{\alpha_{ab}} \circ t_{ab})(U_{ab})$. □

Note that

$$\alpha_{ab} \cdot \alpha_{cd} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \{a,b\} \cap \{c,d\} \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This implies that the group generated by the reflections $r_{\alpha_{ab}}$ is isomorphic to the Coxeter group Γ with the Coxeter diagram equal to the *anti-Petersen graph*.[3] It is a 6-valent regular graph with 10 vertices and 30 edges. It is obtained from the complete graph $K(10)$ with 10 vertices by deleting the edges from the Petersen graph. The group $\mathfrak{S}_5$ acts on the graph and hence acts on Γ by outer automorphisms.

Corollary 4.4. *Let S be a general Enriques surface of Hesse type. The group G of automorphisms of S generated by the projection involutions h_{ab} is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\Gamma \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_5$, where Γ is the subgroup of $W(\mathsf{E}_{10})$ isomorphic to the Coxeter group with the anti-Petersen graph as its Coxeter graph.*

[3] The terminology is due to S. Mukai.

Recall from the previous section that each pair of isotropic vectors f_{ab} and f_{cd} defines an involution $g_{ab,cd}$ of S. The following lemma shows that the group of automorphisms generated by these involutions is contained in the group G generated by the projection involutions h_{ab}.

Lemma 4.5. *We have*

$$g_{ab,cd} = h_{ab} \circ h_{cd} \text{ if } \{a,b\} \cap \{c,d\} = \emptyset,$$

and

$$g_{ab,bc} = h_{de} \text{ if } \{a,b,c,d,e\} = \{1,2,3,4,5\}.$$

Proof. One checks that the two pencils $|2F_{ab}|$ and $|2F_{cd}|$ have four common components if $\{a,b\} \cap \{c,d\} = \emptyset$ and 5 common components otherwise. For example, $|2F_{12}|$ and $|2F_{34}|$ have common components $U_{13}, U_{14}, U_{23}, U_{24}$ (they correspond to subsets which share an element with both subsets $\{a,b\}$ and $\{c,d\}$). On the other hand, $|2F_{12}|$ and $|2F_{23}|$ have common components $U_{12}, U_{23}, U_{13}, U_{24}, U_{25}$.[4] In the former case, the sublattice $\mathcal{R}_{ab,cd}$ is isomorphic to the orthogonal sum of the root lattices $A_2 \oplus A_2$, and, in the latter case, it is isomorphic to $A_1 \oplus A_1 \oplus A_3$. Assume first that $\{a,b\} \cap \{c,d\} = \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{a,b\} = \{1,2\}$ and $\{c,d\} = \{3,4\}$. Applying Lemma 2.1, we find that $g_{12,34}$ acts on $\mathcal{R}_{12,34}$ by switching U_{13} with U_{24} (they span the root lattice A_2) and U_{23} with U_{14} (they span the other connected component of the root lattice). It follows from Corollary 4.2 that $h_{12} \circ h_{34}$ acts the same on $\mathcal{R}_{12,34}$. It follows from formula (2.2) that they act the same on $\mathrm{Num}(S)$, hence coincide.

Now let us assume that $\{a,b\} = \{1,2\}$ and $\{c,d\} = \{2,3\}$. The curves U_{25}, U_{13}, U_{23} span a sublattice of $\mathcal{R}_{12,23}$ of type A_3, the curves U_{12} and U_{23} span the sublattices of type A_1. Applying Lemma 2.1, we find that $g_{12,23}$ acts on $\mathcal{R}_{12,23}$ by switching U_{25} with U_{24} and leaving other curves unchanged. The involution h_{45} does the same job. This proves the assertion. □

Now we are in business and can compute the actions of the compositions of involutions h_{ab} on $\mathrm{Num}(S)$. Applying Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 4.6, we obtain that h_{ab} acts as the transposition t_{ab} if $\{a,b\} \subset \{1,2,3,4\}$. Otherwise, h^*_{ab} acts the composition of a reflection $s_{\alpha_{ab}}$ and t_{ab}.

We will restrict ourselves with elements $g \in G$ which are products of N different h_{ab}'s. The support of such a word in the generators defines a subgraph of the Petersen graph of cardinality N. Different graphs may define different conjugacy classes and the same subgraph may correspond to different conjugacy classes. The following list gives the smallest Salem numbers which we were able to find in this way.

Here we order the pairs (a,b) as $(12,13,14,15,23,24,25,34,35,45)$ and give the sequence of indices in the product of involutions h_{ab}.

[4] In [9], p. 3034, the second case was overlooked.

d	minimal polynomial	$\lambda(g)$	automorphism
2	$x^2 - 5x + 1$	$4,7912\ldots$	$(1,2,3,4,5,6,7)$
4	$x^4 - 5x^3 + 4x^2 - 5x + 1$	$4.3306\ldots$	$(2,6,1,3)$
6	$x^6 - 6x^5 + 6x^4 - 6x^3 + 6x^2 - 6x + 1$	$5,0015\ldots$	$(1,2,3,4,5,6,8)$
8	$x^8 - 8x^7 + 7x^6 - 12x^5 + 8x^4 + \ldots$	$7,2454\ldots$	$(7,1,2,6,4)$
10	$x^{10} - 20x^9 + 9x^8 - 8x^7 - 10x^6 - 8x^5 + \ldots$	$19,5622\ldots$	$(1,3,10,5,7,9,8)$

Table 1: Salem numbers for a general Enriques surface of Hesse type

4.5 Eckardt points and specializations

Recall that an *Eckardt point* in a nonsingular cubic surface C is a point $x \in C$ such that the tangent plane at x intersects C along the union of three lines intersecting at x. A general cubic surface does not have such points. Moreover, if C is Sylvester non-degenerate and given by equation (4.2), then the number of Eckardt points is equal to the number of distinct unordered pairs $\{i,j\}$ such that $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ (see [10], Example 9.1.25). Thus, the possible number r of Eckardt points is equal to $0, 1, 3, 6$ or 10.

Lemma 4.6. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) the residual conic K_{ab} is reducible;

(ii) $\lambda_a = \lambda_b$;

(iii) there exists a plane tangent to $H(C)$ along the edge L_{ab} that contains the point P_{ab};

(iv) the point P_{ab} is an Eckardt point of the cubic surface C.

Proof. For simplicity of notation, we assume that $\{a,b\} = \{1,2\}$. It is easy to see that the equation of the plane tangent to $H(C)$ along the line L_{12} is given by equations $\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 = x_1 + \cdots + x_5 = 0$. The residual conic is given by the additional equation

$$\lambda_4\lambda_5 x_4 x_5 + \lambda_3\lambda_5 x_3 x_5 + \lambda_4\lambda_5 x_4 x_5 = 0.$$

One checks that it is singular, and hence reducible, if and only if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. The singular point in this case is the point P_{12}. This proves the equivalence of the first three statements. Using equation (4.1) we find that (ii) implies that the point $P_{12} = (1,-1,0,0,0)$ is an Eckardt point of the cubic surface C. Conversely, if P_{12} is an Eckardt point, we easily find that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

□

A Sylvester non-degenerate cubic surface C with 10 Eckardt points is isomorphic to the Clebsch diagonal surface (see [10], 9.5.4). The equation of the Hesse surface $H(C)$ is

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{1}{x_3} + \frac{1}{x_4} + \frac{1}{x_5} = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

If $\mathrm{char}(\Bbbk) \neq 3, 5$, the involution Φ_{st} has no fixed points on $H(C)$ and the quotient is an Enriques surface with the automorphism group isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_5$ (see [7], Remark 2.4). It is of Type VI (not IV as was erroneously claimed in loc. cit.) in in Kondo's list of Enriques surfaces with finite automorphism group [16]. If $\mathrm{char}(\Bbbk) = 3$[5] (resp. $\mathrm{char}(\Bbbk) = 5$), the involution has five (resp. one) fixed points, and the minimal resolution of the quotient is a Coble surface. We will discuss Coble surfaces in the next section.

We assume that $\mathrm{char}(\Bbbk) > 5$ and consider the case when the number r of Eckardt points is equal to 6. Thus, we may assume that the Hessian surface H is given by equations:

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{1}{x_3} + \frac{1}{x_4} + \frac{1}{tx_5} = 0. \tag{4.9}$$

It is immediately checked that the involution τ has no fixed points if and only if $t \neq 1/4, 1/16$. These cases lead to Coble surfaces and will be considered in the next section.

The six nodes P_{ab} with $a, b \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ of H are the Eckardt points of the cubic surface. It follows from Lemma 4.6 that, for each such pair, the conic C_{ab} splits into the union of two lines passing through the point P_{ab}. For example, the components of C_{12} are given by equations

$$x_1 + x_2 = x_3 - t\omega x_5 = x_4 - t\omega^2 x_5 = 0,$$

where ω is the third root of 1 different from 1.

As before, we have involutions h_{ab} defined by the projections from the nodes. It follows from Corollary 4.2 that $h^*_{ab}, a < b \leq 4$, coincides with the transposition t_{ab}. Computing the action of products of different involutions, we can substantially decrease the spectral radii. Our best results are given in Table 2.

d	minimal polynomial	$\lambda(g)$	automorphism
2	$x^2 - 3x + 1$	$2.6180\ldots$	$(2, 3, 10, 5, 7)$
4	$x^4 - x^3 - 2x^2 - x + 1$	$2.0810\ldots$	$(2, 5, 8, 7, 10)$
6	$x^6 - 3x^5 + 2x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 3x + 1$	$2.4531\ldots$	$(2, 7, 3, 9, 10, 6)$
8	$x^8 - 2x^7 - x^6 - x^5 - x^2 - 2x + 1$	$2.4308\ldots$	$(3, 10, 5, 8, 2, 6, 1, 7, 4)$
10	$x^{10} - 4x^9 - x^8 - 3x^7 - 2x^5 - 3x^3 - x^2 - 4x + 1$	$4.3893\ldots$	$(5, 3, 2, 6, 1, 7, 10, 4)$

Table 2: Salem numbers for a special pencil of Enriques surface of Hesse type

Here we order the pairs as $(12, 13, 14, 15, 23, 24, 25, 34, 35, 45)$ and give the sequence of subscripts in the product of the h_{ab}'s. Note that the Salem number of degree 2 is the smallest possible and the Salem number of degree 4 is the third smallest possible.

Comparing the Tables 1 and 2, we see a great improvement in our search of small Salem numbers realized by automorphisms of an Enriques surface.

[5] In this case the Clebsch diagonal surface must be given by the equation $s_1 = s_3 = 0$, where s_k are elementary symmetric polynomials in 5 variables.

5 Hyperbolic automorphisms of Coble surfaces

5.1 Coble rational surfaces

Suppose a K3 surface X together with a fixed-point-free involution τ degenerates to a pair (X_0, τ_0) where X_0 is a K3 surface and τ_0 has a smooth rational curve as its locus of fixed points. The formula for the canonical class of the double cover $X_0 \to X_0/(\tau_0)$ shows that the quotient surface $V = X_0/(\tau_0)$ is a rational surface such that $|-K_V| = \emptyset$ but $|-2K_V| = \{C\}$, where C is a smooth rational curve with $C^2 = -4$, the branch curve of the projection $X_0 \to V$.

A smooth projective surface V with the property that $|-K_V| = \emptyset$ but $|-2K_V| \neq \emptyset$ is called a *Coble surface*. The classification of Coble surfaces can be found in [8]. One can show that V must be a rational surface and $h^0(-2K_V) = 1$, i.e. $|-2K_V|$ consists of an isolated positive divisor C. In the case when C is a smooth, its connected components $C_1, \dots, C_s$ are smooth rational curves with self-intersection equal to -4. The double cover branched along C is a K3 surface X_0, and the pair (X_0, τ_0), where τ_0 is the covering involution can be obtained as a degeneration of a pair (X, τ), where X is a K3 surface and τ is its fixed-point-free involution. We will be dealing only with Coble surfaces such that $C \in |-2K_V|$ is smooth (they are called *Coble surfaces of K3 type* in [8]).

A Coble surface of K3 type is a basic rational surface, i.e. it admits a birational morphism $\pi : V \to \mathbb{P}^2$. The image of the curve $C = C_1 + \cdots + C_s$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$ belongs to $|-2K_{\mathbb{P}^2}|$, hence it is a plane curve B of degree 6. The images of the components C_i are its irreducible components or points. We have $K_V^2 = -s$, so V is obtained from $\mathbb{P}^2$ by blowing up $9 + s$ double points of B, some of them may be infinitely near points.

Assume that $s = 1$. In this case B is an irreducible rational curve of degree 6, and V is obtained by blowing up its 10 double points $p_1, \dots, p_{10}$. We say that a Coble surface is *unnodal* if the nodes of B are in general position in the following sense:no infinitely near points, no three points on a line, no six points are on a conic, no plane cubics pass through 8 points one of them being a double point, no plane quartic curve passes through the points with one of them a triple point. These conditions guarantee that the surface does not contain (-2)-curves (see [4], Theorem 3.2). The rational plane sextics with this property were studied by A. Coble who showed that the orbit of this curve under the group of birational transformations consists of only finitely many projectively non-equivalent curves [5].

Assume that B is an irreducible curve of degree 6 with 10 nodes none of them are infinitely near. The orthogonal complement of $K_V^{\perp}$ in $\mathrm{Num}(V)$ of the canonical class K_V is a quadratic lattice isomorphic to E_{10}. It has a basis formed by the vectors $e_0 - e_1 - e_2 - e_3, e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_9 - e_{10}$, where e_0 is the class of the pre-image of a line in the plane and $e_1, \dots, e_{10}$ are the classes of the exceptional curves of the blow-up. Since $-K_V = 3e_0 - (e_1 + \cdots + e_{10})$, a different basis is formed by the classes $(\delta, f_1, \dots, f_9)$, where

$$\delta = 10e_0 - 3(e_1 + \cdots + e_{10}), \tag{5.1}$$

and $f_i = 3e_0 - (e_1 + \cdots + e_{10}) + e_i, i = 1, \ldots, 9$. We have

$$3\delta = f_1 + \cdots + f_{10}, \tag{5.2}$$

where $f_{10} = 3e_0 - (e_1 + \cdots + e_9)$ and $\delta^2 = 10$. The classes f_i represent the classes of the proper transforms on V of cubic curves passing through nine of the double points of B. All of this is in a complete analogy with isotropic 10-sequence $(f_1, \ldots, f_{10})$ on an Enriques surface and its Fano polarization δ which we dealt with in the previous sections. Moreover, the linear system $|2f_i + 2f_j|$ defines a degree 2 map onto a 4-nodal quartic del Pezzo surface

$$\phi_{ij} : V \to \mathcal{D},$$

as in the case of Enriques surfaces. The difference here is that the map is never finite since it blows down the curve $C \in |-2K_V|$ to one of the four ordinary double points of $\mathcal{D}$. One can show that the deck transformation of ϕ_{ij} defines a biregular automorphism g_{ij} of V. Its action on the lattice $K_V^\perp$ is similar to the action of the covering involution in the case of Enriques surfaces. It acts identically on the sublattice M_{ij} spanned by f_i, f_j and the invariant part of the sublattice spanned by common irreducible components of the elliptic fibrations $|2F_|$ and $|2F_j|$ and it acts as the minus identity on the orthogonal complement of M_{ij} in $K_V^\perp$. This allows us to consider the group generated by g_{ij} and compute the dynamical degrees of a hyperbolic automorphism from this group. They completely agree with ones we considered for an Enriques surface.

Assume that V is an unnodal Coble surface. It is known (the fact is essentially due to A. Coble) that the normal closure of any g_{ij}^* in $W(K_V^\perp) \cong W(\mathsf{E}_{10})$ coincides with the 2-level congruence subgroup $W(\mathsf{E}_{10})(2)$ (see [4]). This should be compared with the same result in the case of Enriques surfaces.

Assume that the isotropic sequence $(f_1, \ldots, f_{10})$ is non-degenerate. If R is a common irreducible component of $|2f_i|$ and $|2f_j|$, then intersecting with δ, we find that $(\delta, R) \leq 4$. Since $(K_V, R) = 0$, this is equivalent to that R is a proper inverse transform of a plane curve of degree ≤ 4. It could be a line passing through three points p_i, p_j, p_k, a conic through 6 points p_i, a cubic passing through eight points, one of them is its singular point, or a quartic passing through all points, two of them are its singular points. They are represented by the respective classes in E_{10} of types $\delta - f_i - f_j - f_k$, $f_i + f_j + f_k + f_l - \delta$, $f_i + f_j - f_k$, $\delta - 2f_i$. These are exactly classes of rational smooth curves in E_{10} which we used in the previous sections. This allows us to realize explicit examples of degenerations of a Coble surface in a much easier and more explicit way comparing to the case of Enriques surfaces. The dynamical degrees of automorphisms $c_k = g_{1,2} \cdots g_{m,m+1}$ coincide with ones computed for Enriques surfaces.

5.2 Coble surfaces of Hessian type

Assume that the Hessian quartic surface H of a Sylvester non-degenerate cubic surface acquires an additional singular point and the involution Φ_{st} fixes this point. Then the quotient of a minimal resolution X of H is a Coble surface. We call it a *Coble surface of Hessian type*.

Consider the pencil of Hessian surfaces (4.9). When $t = \frac{1}{4}$, we obtain the equation of the Hessian of the Cayley 4-nodal cubic surface

$$x_1x_2x_3 + x_1x_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_4 + x_2x_3x_4 = 0.$$

Its group of automorphisms is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_4$ which acts by permuting the coordinates. The singular points of the cubic surface form the orbit of the point $(1, 0, 0, 0)$.

When $t = \frac{1}{16}$, we obtain the equation of the Hessian surface of a cubic surface with one ordinary double point and 6 Eckardt points (see [7], Lemma 4.1).

One easily checks that any member H_t of the pencil (4.9) has 10 singular points which form two orbits of $\mathfrak{S}_4$ (acting by permuting the first four coordinates) of the points $(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ and $(1, -1, 0, 0, 0)$. The lines and the points are the edges and the vertices of the Sylvester pentahedron.

The surface $H_{\frac{1}{4}}$ has four more ordinary double points forming the orbit of the point $(1, 1, 1, -1, -2)$. The surface $H_{\frac{1}{16}}$ has only one more singular point $(1, 1, 1, 1, -4)$. None of the additional singular points lie on the edges.[6]

Let Φ_{st} be the Cremona transformation defined in (4.3). It leaves invariant each member H_t of the pencil with parameter value t and defines a biregular involution on a minimal resolution X_t of H_t. Its fixed points are the pre-images on X_t of the points $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$ with $tx_5^2 = 1$ and $x_i^2 = 1, 1 \leq i \neq 4$. We have $x_5 = -(x_1 + \cdots + x_4) = \pm 4, \pm 2$, or 0. Then we obtain that Φ_{st} has no fixed points on H_t unless $t = \frac{1}{4}$ or $t = \frac{1}{16}$. In this case, the fixed points are the additional ordinary double points. Thus, we obtain that

$$V_{\frac{1}{16}} := X_{\frac{1}{16}}/(\Phi_{\mathrm{st}}), \quad V_{\frac{1}{4}} = X_{\frac{1}{4}}/(\Phi_{\mathrm{st}})$$

are Coble surfaces with $K^2_{V_{\frac{1}{16}}} = -1$ and $K_{V_{\frac{1}{4}}} = -13$.

The curves N_{ab} and T_{cd} which are defined for X_t with general t survive for the special values $t = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{16}$. They form the same Desargues configuration exhibited in Figure 2. The involution Φ_{st} switches the curves N_{ab} and T_{ab}.

5.3 Coble surfaces and the Desargues Theorem

Recall from projective geometry that a *Desargues configuration* of lines in the projective plane is formed by six sides of two perspective triangles, three lines joining the perspective vertices and the line joining the three intersection points of these three pairs. It follows from Desargues Theorem (e.g. [10], Theorem 2.1.11) that any configuration of lines and points forming the abstract configuration (10_3) comes from two perspective triangles. We can see the picture of this configuration from Figure 2. Here the two triangles are the triangles with vertices P_{15}, P_{13}, P_{14} and P_{25}, P_{35}, P_{45}.

[6] The Hessian surfaces $H_{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $H_{\frac{1}{16}}$ were studied in detail in [7], in particular, the authors compute the Picard lattices of their minimal resolutions.

Consider the orbit of the curves $N_{ab} + T_{ab}$ on the quotient Coble surface $V_t, t = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{16}$. It is a (-2)-curve U_{ab}. Let $\pi : V_t \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blowing down morphism.

Proposition 5.1. *Let V be a Coble surface of Hessian type. Assume that $|-2K_V|$ is represented by an irreducible smooth rational curve C with self-intersection -4. The image of the ten curves U_{ab} in the plane is the set of 10 lines forming a Desargues configuration of lines. The double points of this configuration are the double points of the sextic curve B.*

Proof. Let H be the Hessian surface and X be its minimal resolution. The curve $C \in |-2K_V|$ is the exceptional curve of the resolution $X_t \to H_t$ over its unique node that is different from the ten modes P_{ab}. We know that the image of the curves C_i in the plane are the irreducible components of the sextic curve B. Since the additional double point of H_t does not lie on an edge, we obtain that $U_{ab} \cap C = \emptyset$. Thus the images $\ell_{ab} = \pi(U_{ab})$ of the curves U_{ab} intersect B only at its double points. Recall that $U_{ab} \cdot f_i = 1$ for exactly three values of i and zero otherwise. Also it follows from (4.7) (which is still valid for Coble surfaces) that $U_{ab} \cdot \delta = 1$. Since $3\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{10} f_i$, it follows from (5.1), that the class e_0 of a line intersects U_{ab} with multiplicity one, hence ℓ_i is a line. Since the curves U_{ab} form the Petersen graph from Figure 2, their images form a Desargues configuration of lines. □

Remark 5.2. The beautiful fact that the vertices of a Desargues configuration of lines in the projective plane are double points of a plane curve of degree 6 is due to J. Thas [27]. The moduli space of Coble surfaces of Hessian type is isomorphic to the moduli space of nodal Sylvester non-degenerate cubic surfaces. It contains an open dense subset parameterizing one-nodal cubic surfaces. The six lines passing through the node define an unordered set of six points on the exceptional curve at this point isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$, hence they define a hyperelliptic curve of genus 2. Conversely, a set of six unordered points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ define, via the Veronese map, six points on a conic. Their blow-up is isomorphic to a one-nodal cubic surface. In this way we see that the moduli space of Coble surfaces of Hessian type contains an open dense subset isomorphic to the 3-dimensional moduli space of genus 2 curves. On the other hand, it is known that the moduli space of Desargues configurations in the plane also contains an open dense subset naturally isomorphic to the moduli space of genus 2 curves [1]. Thus the argument from the previous proof gives an algebraic geometrical proof of Thas's result for a general Desargues configuration.

5.4 Coble surface $V_{\frac{1}{16}}$

Assume $t = \frac{1}{16}$. The surface $V_{\frac{1}{16}}$ is the Coble surface associated to the Hessian surface of a cubic surface with six Eckardt points and one ordinary double point. It is obtained by blowing up 10 double points of an irreducible plane sextic B. The nodes are the vertices of a Desargues configuration of 10 lines, the images of the curves U_{ab} in the plane. We also know that the Hessian surface $H_{\frac{1}{16}}$ and hence $V_{\frac{1}{16}}$ admits $\mathfrak{S}_4$ as its group of automorphisms. This group permutes the curves U_{ab} and hence, considered as a group of birational transformations of the plane, it leaves invariant the Desargues configuration of ten lines. In particular, it permutes the exceptional curves representing the classes $e_1, \dots, e_{10}$. It

follows from formula (4.7) that it leaves $\Delta = \sum U_{ab}$ invariant. Appying formula (5.1), we see that it leaves invariant the class e_0 of a line in the plane. Thus the symmetry group $\mathfrak{S}_4$ comes from a group of projective transformations leaving invariant the curve B. Since no non-identical projective transformation can fix a curve of degree > 1 point-wise, we see that B admits $\mathfrak{S}_4$ as its group of projective automorphisms. According to R. Winger [30], there are two projective equivalence classes of such irreducible rational sextics (*octahedral sextics*). One of them has six cusps among its double points. It cannot be ours since the group $\mathfrak{S}_4$ permutes the divisor classes e_i and hence has one orbit on the set of double points of the sextic. So, our sextic is another one that is given by parametric equation

$$(x, y, z) = \big(u^6 - 5u^2v^4, uv(v^4 - 5u^4), v(u^5 + v^5)\big).$$

Its set of ten nodes contains 6 biflecnodes (i.e. nodes whose branches are inflection points) which are vertices of a complete quadrilateral of lines which together with the remaining four nodes form a *quadrangle-quadrilateral configuration* (see [29], Chapter II). Together, four sides of the quadrilateral and six sides of a quadrangle form a Desargues configuration of lines. This agrees with our partition of the curves U_{ab} into two sets corresponding to indices $a, b \leq 4$ and $(a, b) = (a, 5)$.

5.5 Coble surface $V_{\frac{1}{4}}$

Assume now that $t = \frac{1}{4}$. In this case $K^{\perp}_{V_{\frac{1}{4}}}$ is generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by the classes of the curves U_{ab} and the classes $C_2 - C_1, C_3 - C_1, C_4 - C_1$. Its rank is equal to 13. One checks that the hyperplane section $x_a + x_b = 0, 1 \leq a < b \leq 4$, intersects the Hessian along the union of the edge $x_a = x_b = 0$ and a line $\ell_{ab} = x_a + x_b = x_c - x_d = 0, \{a, b\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset$ taken with multiplicity 2. The Cremona involution Φ_{st} leaves the lines ℓ_{ab} invariant. The hyperplane section spanned by the line ℓ_{ab} and the edge $x_a = x_b = 0$ is tangent to $H_{1/4}$ along the edge and the line. The pencil of hyperplanes through the edge defines an elliptic fibration on $X_{\frac{1}{4}}$ with two reducible fibers of type I_6, one reducible fiber of type I_0^* and one reducible fiber of type I_4. The latter two fibers correspond to the planes $x_a + x_b = 0$ and $x_a - x_b = 0$, respectively. Each plane contains a pair of new singular points. The fiber of type I_0^* is equal to the divisor $D_1 = 2R_0 + R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_4$, where R_0 is the proper inverse transform of the line ℓ_{ab}, the curves R_1, R_2 are the exceptional curves over the new singular point lying on ℓ_{ab} which are pointwisely fixed by Φ_{st} and the curves R_3, R_4 are the curves N_{cd} and T_{cd}. The image of D_1 on the Coble surface $V_{\frac{1}{4}}$ is the divisor $4E_0 + 2E_1 + E_2 + E_3$, where $E_0^2 = -1, E_1^2 = -2, E_2^2 = E_3^2 = -4$ and $E_0 \cdot E_i = 1, i = 1, 2, 3, E_i \cdot E_j = 0, 1 \leq i < j = 3$. Its image on the relatively minimal elliptic surface is a fiber of Kodaira's type III.

The fiber of type I_4 is a divisor $D_2 = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4$ forming a quadrangle of (-2)-curves. Two opposite sides A_1, A_2 are the proper transforms of the components of the residual cubic curve. The curves A_3, A_4 are the exceptional curves over two new singular points. The image of D_2 in $V_{\frac{1}{4}}$ is a quadrangle of four smooth rational curves as pictured on Figure 5. Its image on the relatively minimal elliptic surface is a fiber of type I_2.

Let $f : V_{\frac{1}{4}} \to S$ be the blowing down of the curves E_0, E_1 and two (-1)-curves in the



Figure 4: The image of the fiber of type I_0^* on $V_{\frac{1}{4}}$



Figure 5: The image of the fiber of type I_4 on $V_{\frac{1}{4}}$

second fiber. The image of the elliptic pencil on S defines a relatively minimal elliptic fibration on the surface S. It has reducible fibers of Kodaira's types I_6, I_2 and III.

Let us give an explicit construction of $V_{\frac{1}{4}}$ as the blow-up of 13 points in the plane. Consider the union of two lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 and two conics K_1 and K_2 satisfying the following properties

(i) The lines ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are tangent to the conic K_1 at points p_1, p_2;

(ii) K_1 is tangent to K_2 at two points p_3, p_4;

(iii) the lines $\langle p_1, p_3\rangle$ and $\langle p_1, p_4\rangle$ through the points p_1, p_3 and through the points p_1, p_4 intersect ℓ_2 at the points p_5, p_6 lying on the line ℓ_2.

This configuration of lines exists and is unique up to projective equivalence. In fact, let us choose the projective coordinates such that the points p_1, p_3, p_4 are the points $[1,0,0], [0,1,0]$ and $[0,0,1]$. Then, after scaling the coordinates we can write the equation of K_1 in the form $xy + yz + xz = 0$ and the equation of K_2 in the form $xy + xz + yz + ax^2 = 0$. The equation of ℓ_1 becomes $y + z = 0$. The lines $\langle p_1, p_3\rangle$ and $\langle p_1, p_4\rangle$ become the lines $y = 0$ and $z = 0$. They intersect K_2 at the points $[1, -a, 0]$ and $[1, 0, -a]$. The line through these points has the equation $ax + y + z = 0$. The condition that this line is tangent to K_1 at some point p_2 is $a = 4$. This makes ℓ_2 and K_2 unique. So the union of the two lines and the two conics is given by the equation

$$B := (y + z)((y + z + 4x)(xy + yz + xz)(xy + yz + xz + 4x^2) = 0.$$

To get the Coble surface, we first blow-up the points $p_0 = \ell_1 \cap \ell_2, p_1, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6$ and infinitely near points p_1', p_3', p_4' to p_1, p_3, p_4 corresponding to the tangent directions of tangent lines at the conics. They are the base points of the pencil of cubics spanned by $\ell_1 + K_2$ and $\ell_2 + K_1$. The corresponding minimal elliptic surface contains three reducible fibers of types III coming from $\ell_2 + K_1$, of type I_2 coming from $\ell_1 + K_2$ and a fiber of type I_6 coming from the coordinate lines $x = 0, y = 0, z = 0$. Then we blow-up the singular points of the first two fibers as in above to get the Coble surface.

5.6 Dynamical degrees of automorphisms of $V_{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $V_{\frac{1}{16}}$

Let G be as before, the group generated by the projection involutions h_{ab}. Obviously, the sublattice N of $\mathrm{Num}(V_{\frac{1}{4}})$ generated by the curves $C_1, \ldots, C_4$ is invariant with respect to $\mathrm{Aut}(V_{\frac{1}{4}})$. The group G acts on it by permuting the classes of C_i. Thus, all eigenvectors of elements $g^*, g \in G$ with real eigenvalue $\lambda > 1$ are contained in $L = (N^{\perp})_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since the sublattice generated by the curves U_{ab} is contained in L, we can compute the spectral radii of elements g in the basis formed by these curves. It is obvious that the action on these curves has not changed comparing to V_t with general t.

To sum up, we have proved the following.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $(H_t)_{t\neq 0}$ be the family of Hessian surfaces given by equation (4.2). Let $(S_t')_{t\neq 0}$ be the family of surfaces obtained as the quotients H_t by the Cremona involution Φ_{st}. For $t \neq \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{16}$, a minimal smooth model S_t of S_t' is an Enriques surface. The surface S_1 is an Enriques surface with the automorphism group isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_5$ (see subsection 4.5). For $t = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{16}$, a minimal resolution of singularities of S_t' is a Coble surface S_t. For any $t \neq 1$, the group G_t of automorphisms of H_t generated by the deck transformations of the projections from the nodes of H_t is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $G = \mathrm{UC}(4) \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_4$, where $\mathrm{UC}(4)$ is the free product of four groups of order 2. The group G_t commutes with the Cremona involution Φ_{st} and descends to a subgroup $\bar{G}_t$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(S_t)$. For any $g \in \bar{G}_t$, the spectral radius of g^* acting on $\mathrm{Num}(S_t)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is independent of $t \neq 1$.*

Remark 5.4. Note that there is no smooth family $f : \mathcal{S} \to T$ of surfaces which include both Enriques and Coble surfaces. One of the reasons is that their Euler-Poincaré characteristics are different. However, there exists a smooth family $f : \mathcal{X} \to T$ of K3-surfaces admitting an involution $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X}/T)$ such that the quotient of a general fiber is an Enriques surface and the quotient of special fibers are Coble surfaces. In this case, the locus of fixed points of τ is of relative dimension one and the quotient total family $\mathcal{X}/(\tau)$ is singular along this locus. So, the family $\bar{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{X}/(\tau) \to T$ has smooth fibers but not a flat (and hence not smooth) family. By blowing up the singular locus we obtain a flat family with special fibers isomorphic to the union of a copy of a Coble surface and s copies of minimal ruled surfaces (a *flower pot* degeneration of Enriques surfaces, see [20]).

6 Questions and comments

One of the goals of our computer experiments was to find a hyperbolic automorphism g of an Enriques surface of small as possible dynamical degree $\lambda(g)$. It follows from [23] that the first four smallest Salem numbers of degree 10 cannot be realized by an automorphism of an Enriques surface. His proof can be used to eliminate such numbers as possible dynamical degrees of automorphisms of a Coble surface. So, the natural question is the following.

Question 6.1. *What is the smallest Salem number larger than one of given degree $d \geq 4$ realized by an automorphism of an Enriques surface or a Coble surface?*

Obviously, the possible degree does not exceed 10 for an Enriques surface. The same is true for a Coble surface since we can restrict the action of an automorphism to the sublattice of rank 10 orthogonal to the classes of all irreducible component of the effective anti-bicanonical curve.

The smallest Salem numbers in our computations can be found in Table 2. Note that we had realized the smallest Salem number of degree 2.

I am sure that these are not optimal results. Note that any Salem number that can be realized as the spectral radius of an element of a Coxeter group with the T-shaped Coxeter diagram of type $T_{2,3,n}, n \geq 7$, occurs as the dynamical degree of an automorphism of a rational surface [28]. However, the group of automorphisms of the rational surface realizing these numbers for $n > 7$ is expected to be small, in most cases, an infinite cyclic group.

Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to T$ be a smooth family of projective surfaces over an integral scheme T of finite type over $\Bbbk$. We say that it is a complete smooth family if it cannot be extended to a smooth family $\mathcal{X}' \to C'$ where C is a proper open subset of an integral scheme C'. Suppose G is a group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{X}/T$ and let g_η be the restriction of $g \in G$ to the generic geometric fiber of f. Assume that g_η is hyperbolic, i.e. $\lambda(g_\eta) > 1$. Let $U = \{t \in T : \lambda(g_t) < \lambda(g_\eta)\}$. We say that the family as above is *equi-hyperbolic* if for any $t \in U$ we have $\lambda(g_t) = 1$. In subsection 4.5 we have introduced a family of Enriques surfaces over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic $p \neq 2, 3, 5$ parameterized by $T = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{16}\}$ together with a group of automorphisms G isomorphic to $\mathrm{UC}(4) \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_4$ such that for all $t \in T, t \neq 1$, any hyperbolic element $g \in G$ restricts to a hyperbolic automorphism g_t whose dynamical degree does not depend on t and $\lambda(g_1) = 1$. Applying the theory of degenerations of K3 and Enriques surfaces, one can show that the family is a smooth complete family. This is an example of a equi-hyperbolic family of automorphisms of Enriques surfaces. By taking the K3-cover we get an example of an equi-hyperbolic family of automorphisms of K3 surfaces. To exclude the trivial cases of constant or isotrivial families, we assume additionally, that $U \neq \emptyset$.

Question 6.2. *What is the largest possible dimension of a equi-hyperbolic family of automorphisms of algebraic surfaces.*

So far, we have considered the dynamical degree of a single automorphism of an algebraic surface X. One may also look at a discrete infinite group G of automorphisms. We identify

G with its image Γ in the group of isometries of the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n$ associated to a real linear quadratic space V of dimension $n+1$ of signature $(1,n)$ (in our case $V = \mathrm{Num}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$). It is a discrete group of isometries of $\mathbb{H}^n$. For any point $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ one defines the Poincaré series

$$P_s(x,x) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} e^{-sd(\gamma(x),x)},$$

where $d(x,y)$ is the hyperbolic distance between the points x, y. The *critical exponent* $\delta(\Gamma)$ is defined to be the infimum of the set $\{s \in \mathbb{R} : P_s(x,y) < \infty\}$. We have $\cosh d(x,y) = (x,y)$, where (x,y) is the inner product in the Minkowski space V. Suppose that Γ is the cyclic group generated by g^*, where g is a hyperbolic automorphism of dynamical degree $\lambda(g) > 1$. If we take $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ to represent the numerical class h of an ample divisor, then

$$P_s(h,h) = \sum_{n\geq 0} e^{-s\cosh^{-1}((g^*)^n(h),h))} \sim \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(g^*)^n(h),h)^s},$$

and since $\lambda(g) = \lim_{n\to\infty}((g^*)^n(h),h))^{1/n} > 1$, the series converges for all $s > 0$. In particular, the critical exponent is equal to zero. On the other hand, if Γ is geometrically finite (one of the equivalent definitions of this is that Γ admits a fundamental polyhedron with finitely many sides), and non-elementary (i.e. does not contain a subgroup of finite index isomorphic to an abelian group of finite rank) the critical exponent is positive and coincides with the *Hausdorff dimension* of the limit set $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ and the Poincaré series diverges at $\delta(\Gamma)$ [26].

For any positive real r and any ample class h on X, set

$$N_{X,h}(r) = \#\{g \in G : (g^*(h),h) \leq r\}.$$

One can show that in the case when Γ is non-elementary geometrically finite discrete group, there exists an asymptotic expansion of this function of r whose leading term is equal to $c_{\Gamma,h}r^{\delta_\Gamma}$ (see [13]).

It is known that $0 < \delta_\Gamma \leq n-1$ for non-elementary geometrically discrete group Γ of isometries of $\mathbb{H}^n$ and the equality $\delta_\Gamma = n-1$ holds if and only if Γ is of finite covolume. It follows from the Global Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces that the group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ of automorphisms G of a complex algebraic K3 surface X is finitely generated (see [25]) and it is of finite covolume if and only if X does not contain smooth rational curves. In fact, the proof shows that the subgroup G of the orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Num}(X))$ generated by $\mathrm{Aut}(X)^* := \{g^*, g \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)\}$ and reflections in (-2)-curves is of finite index. It follows that $\mathrm{Aut}(X)^*$ is always a geometrically finite discrete group of isometries of the hyperbolic space (one finds a fundamental polyhedron with finitely many sides by throwing away the faces in the fundamental domain of G corresponding to reflections in (-2)-curve).[7] Thus, if G is non-elementary and X acquires a smooth rational curve, we have $0 < \delta_\Gamma < \rho - 2$, where $\rho = \mathrm{rank}\,\mathrm{Num}(X)$. In particular, δ_Γ drops when X acquires a smooth rational curve.

Question 6.3. *In notation of Theorem 1.1, where we replace g by a non-elementary group Γ, what is the behavior of the function $s \mapsto \delta_{\Gamma_s}$?*

[7] This remark is due to V. Nikulin.

The Hausdorff dimension δ_Γ is notoriously difficult to compute, and numerical computations are known only in a few cases (see [13]).

Question 6.4. *What is δ_Γ for the group of automorphisms of a K3, an Enriques surface or a Coble surface of Hessian type generated by the projection involutions?*

References

[1] D. Avritzer, H. Lange, *Curves of genus 2 and Desargues configurations*. Adv. Geom. **2** (2002), 259–280.

[2] S. Cantat, *Sur les groupes de transformations birationnelles des surfaces*. Ann. of Math. (2) **174** (2011), 299–340.

[3] S. Cantat, *Dynamics of automorphisms of compact complex surfaces*. Frontiers in complex dynamics, 463–514, Princeton Math. Ser., 51, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2014.

[4] S. Cantat, I. Dolgachev, *Rational surfaces with a large group of automorphisms*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), 863–905.

[5] A. Coble, *The Ten Nodes of the Rational Sextic and of the Cayley Symmetroid*. Amer. J. Math. **41** (1919), 243-265.

[6] F. Cossec, I. Dolgachev, *Enriques surfaces. I*. Progress in Mathematics, 76. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1989.

[7] E. Dardanelli, B. van Geemen, *Hessians and the moduli space of cubic surfaces*. Algebraic geometry, 17–36, Contemp. Math., 422, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.

[8] I. Dolgachev, De-Qi Zhang, *Coble rational surfaces*. Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001), 79–114.

[9] I. Dolgachev, J. Keum, *Birational automorphisms of quartic Hessian surfaces*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 3031–3057.

[10] I. Dolgachev, *Classical algebraic geometry. A modern view*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.

[11] I. Dolgachev, *A brief introduction to Enriques surfaces*, Development of Moduli Theory-Kyoto 2013, Adv. Study in Pure Math. Math. Soc. 69, Math. Soc. Japan, 2016, pp. 1–32.

[12] I. Dolgachev, *Numerical automorphisms of Enriques surfaces in arbitrary characteristic*, Arithmetic and geometry of K3 surfaces and Calabi-Yau threefold, ed. R. Lazu, M. Schütt, N. Yui, Fields Institute Communications, vol. 67, Springer. 2013, pp.267-284.

[13] I. Dolgachev, *Orbital counting of curves on algebraic surfaces and sphere packings*, K3 surfaces and their modules, ed. C. Faber, G. Farkas, and G. van der Geer, Progress in Math. 315, Birkhaüser, 2016, pp. 17–54.

[14] M. Gizatullin, *Rational G-surfaces.* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **44** (1980), 110-144, 239.

[15] D. Hilbert, S. Cohn-Vossen, *Anschauliche Geometrie*, Berlin, Springer, 1932.

[16] S. Kondō, *Enriques surfaces with finite automorphism group*, Japan J. Math. **12** (1986), 192–282.

[17] C. McMullen, *Coxeter groups, Salem numbers and the Hilbert metric.* Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **95** (2002), 151–183.

[18] C. McMullen, *Dynamics on blowups of the projective plane.* Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. **105** (2007), 49-89.

[19] C. McMullen, *Automorphisms of projective K3 surfaces with minimum entropy*, Invent. Math. **203** (2016), no. 1, 17–215.

[20] D. Morrison, *Semistable degenerations of Enriques' and hyperelliptic surfaces.* Duke Math. J. **48** (1981), 197–249.

[21] S. Mukai, Y. Namikawa, *Automorphisms of Enriques surfaces which act trivially on the cohomology groups.* Invent. Math. **77** (1984), 383-397.

[22] S. Mukai, *Numerically trivial involutions of Kummer type of an Enriques surface.* Kyoto J. Math. **50** (2010), 889-902.

[23] K. Oguiso, *The third smallest Salem number in automorphisms of K3 surfaces.* Algebraic geometry in East Asia–Seoul 2008, 331–360, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 60, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2010.

[24] I. Shimada, *Automorphisms of supersingular K3 surfaces and Salem polynomials.* Experimental Math. **25** (2016), 389–398.

[25] H. Sterk, *Finiteness results for algebraic K3 surfaces.* Math. Z. **189** (1985), 507–513.

[26] D. Sullivan, *Entropy, Hausdorff measures old and new, and limit sets of geometrically finite Kleinian groups.* Acta Math. **153** (1984), 259–277.

[27] J. Thas, *A rational sextic associated with a Desargues configuration.* Geom. Dedicata **51** (1994), 163–180.

[28] T. Uehara, *Rational surface automorphisms with positive entropy.* Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **66** (2016), 377–432.

[29] O. Veblen, J.W. Young, *Projective geometry.* Vol. 1. Blaisdell Publishing Co. Ginn and Co.. New York-Toronto-London, 1965.

[30] R. Winger, *Self-Projective Rational Sextics*. Amer. J. Math. **38** (1916), no. 1, 45–56.

[31] J. Xie, *Periodic points of birational transformations on projective surfaces*. Duke Math. J. **164** (2015), 903–932.